

The Generalization of Fermat's Last Theorem

By Leszek W. Guła

Lublin-POLAND

04&21 February 2016

Abstract—

The hypothetical Fermat's proof of Fermat's Last Theorem (FLT).

The proof of Jeśmanowicz's Conjecture.

The Beal's Conjecture 2.

MSC: Primary: 11A41, 11D41, 11D45; Secondary: 11D61, 11D75, 11D85.

Keywords— Beal Conjecture, Common Prime Factor, Diophantine Equations, Diophantine Inequalities, Exponential Equations, Fermat Equation, Greatest Common Divisor.

I. INTRODUCTION

Among these notes one finds the elder Fermat's extraordinary comment in connection with the Pythagorean equation the marginal comment that hints at the existence of a proof (a demonstratio sane mirabilis) of what has come to be known as Fermat's Last Theorem. [4]

Jeśmanowicz's Conjecture [1] concerns the Diophantus Equation.

Beal's Conjecture is a generalization of Fermat's Last Theorem. [5]

II. THE HYPOTHETICAL FERMAT'S PROOF OF FERMAT'S LAST THEOREM

Theorem 1 (FLT). For all $n, A, B, C \in \{3, 4, 5, \dots\}$: $A^n + B^n \neq C^n$.

Proof. Suppose that for some $n \in \{3, 4, 5, \dots\}$ and for some co-prime $A, B, C \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$:

Then $(A + B > C \wedge A^2 + B^2 > C^2 \wedge \dots \wedge A^{n-1} + B^{n-1} > C^{n-1})$.

Then only one number out of any solution $[A, B, C]$ is even and $A, (B - C) \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$. [2]

From the above it follows that – For some $A, B, C \in \{3, 4, 5, \dots\}$ and for all $v \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$:

$$(A > 2v + 1 [3] \wedge B \neq 2v^2 + 2v \wedge C \neq 2v^2 + 2v + 1 [2]) \Rightarrow A > 2v + 1 \equiv 0.$$

This is the proof.

Remark 1. It is known that $(n \mid A \vee n \mid B \vee n \mid C)$. [2] If $n \nmid ABC$, then a proof of FLT is false.

For some $v \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$:

$$[A = C - B + 2v \wedge B = C - A + 2v \wedge C = C - B + C - A + 2v \wedge 2v^2 > (C - A)(C - B) \wedge n \mid v].$$

If $C = 2v^2 + 2v + 1$, then $n \nmid C$. If $B = 2v^2 + 2v$, then $n \nmid B$. If $A = 2v + 1$, then $n \nmid A$.

This is the remark 1.

Theorem 2 (LWG Theorem 03&04 June1997). For each $g \in \{8, 12, 16, \dots\}$ or for each $g \in \{3, 5, 7, \dots\}$ there exist finitely many pairs (s, t) of positive integers such that:

$$g = \left(\frac{g + d^2}{2d}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{g - d^2}{2d}\right)^2 = s^2 - t^2 = (s + t)(s - t) = \frac{g}{d}(s - t) = \frac{g}{d}d = g,$$

where $d \mid g$ and $d < \sqrt{g}$ and $d, \frac{g}{d} \in \{2, 4, 6, \dots\}$ with even g or $d \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$ with odd g . [2]

Theorem 3. For all $x, u, v \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ such that $\gcd(u, v) = 1$ and $u - v \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$:

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} (u + v)^x (u - v)^x &= \left[\frac{(u + v)^x + (u - v)^x}{2} \right]^2 - \left[\frac{(u + v)^x - (u - v)^x}{2} \right]^2 \wedge (u^2 - v^2)^{2+x} + (2uv)^{2+x} \\ &= (u^2 - v^2)^2 (u^2 - v^2)^x + (2uv)^2 (2uv)^x < (u^2 - v^2)^2 (u^2 + v^2)^x + (2uv)^2 (u^2 + v^2)^x \\ &= (u^2 + v^2)^{2+x} \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow (u^2 - v^2, 2uv, u^2 + v^2). [2]$$

Theorem 4. For any primitive Diophantus triple (Pythagorean triple) $(u^2 - v^2, 2uv, u^2 + v^2)$ there exists different and only one shared relatively prime pair (u, v) . [2]

III. THE PROOF OF JEŚMANOWICZ'S CONJECTURE

Conjecture 1 (Jeśmanowicz Conjecture). For all $x, y, z, u, v \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ such that $(x, y, z) \neq (2, 2, 2)$ and $\gcd(u, v) = 1$ and $u - v \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$: $(u^2 - v^2)^x + (2uv)^y \neq (u^2 + v^2)^z$.

Proof. Suppose that for some $x, y, z, u, v \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ such that $(x, y, z) \neq (2, 2, 2)$ and $\gcd(u, v) = 1$ and $u - v \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$: $(u^2 - v^2)^x + (2uv)^y = (u^2 + v^2)^z$.

On the strength of the Theorems 2, 3, and 4 we will have – For some $x, y, z \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and for some $u, v \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ such that $(x, y, z) \neq (2, 2, 2)$ and $\gcd(u, v) = 1$ and $u - v \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} [(u^2 - v^2)^x + (2uv)^y = (u^2 + v^2)^z \wedge u^2 - v^2 + 2uv > u^2 + v^2 \wedge (u^2 - v^2)^2 + (2uv)^2 = (u^2 + v^2)^2] \\ \Rightarrow [(u^2 - v^2)^x = (u^2 - v^2)^2 \wedge (2uv)^y = (2uv)^2 \wedge (u^2 + v^2)^z = (u^2 + v^2)^2] \Rightarrow (x, y, z) \\ = (2, 2, 2), \end{aligned}$$

which is inconsistent with $(x, y, z) \neq (2, 2, 2)$. [2]

This is the proof.

IV. THE BEAL'S CONJECTURE 2

Conjecture 2 (Beal Conjecture 2). For all $x, y, z \in \{3, 4, 5, \dots\}$ the equation

$$A^x + B^y = C^z$$

has no primitive solutions $[A, B, C]$ in $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$.

Proof. Let for some $x, y, z \in \{3, 4, 5, \dots\}$ and for some co-prime $A, B, C \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$: $A^x + B^y = C^z$.

Then only one number out of any solution $[A, B, C]$ is even.

From the above it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} [(A^x + B^y = C^z \wedge A + B > C \wedge A^2 + B^2 > C^2) \vee (A^x + B^y = C^z \wedge A + B = C \wedge A^2 + B^2 < C^2) \\ \vee (A^x + B^y = C^z \wedge A + B < C \wedge A^2 + B^2 < C^2)]. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2. Let $\mathit{cpf}(p4, p5, p3) = p$, where p is the odd common prime factor with the numbers of the primitive solution $[4, 5, 3]$ of the equation $4 + 5 = 3^2$.

If $2 > 1 = 1$, then for $p = \mathit{cpf}(p, 5) = 5$:

$$p4 + p5 = p^2 3^2 \Rightarrow 4 + 5 = p3^2 \Rightarrow \mathit{cpf}(p, 4) > 1,$$

which is inconsistent with primitive solution $[4, 5, 3]$? Therefore these proofs in [2] are false proofs.

This is the remark 2.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bobiński, Z., Kamiński, B.: WIADOMOŚCI MATEMATYCZNE XXXV, SERIES II, ROCZNIKI POLSKIEGO TOWARZYSTWA MATEMATYCZNEGO 1999 – http://main3.amu.edu.pl/~wiadmat/145-151_zb_wm35.pdf
- [2] Guła, L. W.: Several Treasures of the Queen of Mathematics – http://www.ijetae.com/files/Volume6Issue1/IJETAE_0116_09.pdf
- [3] Guła, L. W.: The Truly Marvellous Proof – http://www.ijetae.com/files/Volume2Issue12/IJETAE_1212_14.pdf
- [4] Mazur, B.: About The Cover: Diohantus's Arithmetica – <http://www.ams.org/journals/bull/2006-43-03/S0273-0979-06-01123-2/S0273-0979-06-01123-2.pdf>
- [5] <http://www.ams.org/profession/prizes-awards/ams-supported/beal-prize>