

The Generalization of Fermat's Last Theorem

By Leszek W. Guła

Lublin-POLAND

22 February 2016

Abstract—

About the proper proof of Fermat's Last Theorem.
The proper proof of Jeśmanowicz's Conjecture.
The proper proof of Beal's Conjecture 2.

MSC: Primary: 11A41, 11D41, 11D45; Secondary: 11D61, 11D75, 11D85.

Keywords— Beal Conjecture, Common Prime Factor, Diophantine Equations, Diophantine Inequalities, Fermat Equation, Greatest Common Divisor, Logical Laws.

I. INTRODUCTION

Fermat's Last Theorem is the famous theorem.
Jeśmanowicz's Conjecture [1] concerns the Diophantus Equation.
Beal's Conjecture is a generalization of Fermat's Last Theorem. [4]

II. ABOUT THE PROPER PROOF OF FERMAT'S LAST THEOREM

Theorem 1 (Fermat Last Theorem). *For all $n \in \{3,4,5, \dots\}$ and for all $A, B, C \in \{1,2,3, \dots\}$:*

$$A^n + B^n \neq C^n.$$

Proof in [2].

If $A^n + B^n = C^n$, then $A + B - C > 0$ and $A^2 + B^2 > C^2$, where A, B and C are co-prime.

Only one number out of each solution $[A, B, C]$ is even. $A + B - C \in [2,4,6, \dots]$ and $A, C - B \in \{1,3,5, \dots\}$.

We assume – For some co-prime $u, v \in \{1,2,3, \dots\}$ such that $u - v \in \{1,3,5, \dots\}$: $A + B - C = 2v(u - v)$.

$$[A = C - B + 2v(u - v) \wedge B = C - A + 2v(u - v) \wedge C = C - B + C - A + 2v(u - v) \wedge A^2 + B^2 > C^2].$$

Thus $2v^2(u - v)^2 > (C - A)(C - B)$, with $[(n | v \wedge n | A) \vee (n | v \wedge n | B) \vee (n | v \wedge n | C)]$.

If $A = u^2 - v^2$, then $n \nmid A$. Then the proof is incomplete.

If $A = (u - v)^n + 2v(u - v)$, then $n \nmid A$. [3] Then the proof is incomplete.

If $C = u^2 + v^2$, then $n \nmid C$. Then the proof is incomplete.

If $B = 2uv$, then $(A = u^2 + a - v^2 \wedge C = u^2 + a + v^2 \wedge n | v \wedge n \nmid C, A)$. Then the proof is incomplete.

From the Fermat Equation it follows that – For any primitive solution $[A, B, C]$ and for all $u, v \in \{1,2,3, \dots\}$ such that $\mathbf{gcd}(u, v) = 1$ and $u - v \in \{1,3,5, \dots\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \{ \neg[(A = u^2 - v^2 \wedge B = 2uv \wedge C = u^2 + v^2) \equiv 0 \Rightarrow A + B - C = 2v(u - v) \equiv 1] \\ \equiv 0 \wedge \neg[(A \neq u^2 - v^2 \wedge B \neq 2uv \wedge C \neq u^2 + v^2) \equiv 1 \Rightarrow A + B - C \neq 2v(u - v) \equiv 0] \\ \equiv 1 \} \equiv 0. \end{aligned}$$

We have $[(A \neq u^2 - v^2 \wedge B \neq 2uv \wedge C \neq u^2 + v^2) \wedge A + B - C = 2v(u - v)] \equiv 1$.

If $n = 4$, then for some $c, d, e \in \{1,3,5, \dots\}$ such that c, d and e are co-prime: $cde = v(u - v) = vc = v$.

If n is odd prime number, then for some co-prime $e, m, c, h \in \{1,3,5, \dots\}$: $nemch = v(u - v) = vc = v$.

Further in [2] we have the proper proof of Fermat's Last Theorem.

Theorem 2 (LWG Theorem 03&04 June1997). *For each $g \in \{8,12,16, \dots\}$ or for each $g \in \{3,5,7, \dots\}$ there exist finitely many pairs (s, t) of positive integers such that:*

$$g = \left(\frac{g + d^2}{2d}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{g - d^2}{2d}\right)^2 = s^2 - t^2 = (s + t)(s - t) = \frac{g}{d}(s - t) = \frac{g}{d}d = g,$$

where $d|g$ and $d < \sqrt{g}$ and $\frac{g}{d} \in \{2,4,6, \dots\}$ with even g or $d \in \{1,3,5, \dots\}$ with odd g . [2]

Theorem 3. For all $x, u, v \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ such that $\mathbf{gcd}(u, v) = 1$ and $u - v \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$:

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} (u+v)^x(u-v)^x &= \left[\frac{(u+v)^x + (u-v)^x}{2} \right]^2 - \left[\frac{(u+v)^x - (u-v)^x}{2} \right]^2 \wedge (u^2 - v^2)^{2+x} + (2uw)^{2+x} \\ &= (u^2 - v^2)^2(u^2 - v^2)^x + (2uw)^2(2uw)^x < (u^2 - v^2)^2(u^2 + v^2)^x + (2uw)^2(u^2 + v^2)^x \\ &= (u^2 + v^2)^{2+x} \end{aligned} \right\}. [2]$$

Definition 1. $\mathbf{cpf}[p(u^2 - v^2), p2uw, p(u^2 + v^2)] = p$, where p is the odd common prime factor (\mathbf{cpf}) with the numbers of the solutions $[u^2 - v^2, 2uw, u^2 + v^2]$ such that $p, uv, u^2 - v^2$ are co-prime. [2]

This is the definition 1.

Definition 2. $\mathbf{cpf}(pA, pB, pC) = p$, where p is the odd common prime factor (\mathbf{cpf}) with the numbers of the primitive solutions $[A, B, C]$. [2]

This is the definition 2.

III. THE PROPER PROOF OF JEŚMANOWICZ'S CONJECTURE

Conjecture 1 (Jeśmanowicz Conjecture). For all $x, y, z, u, v \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ such that $(x, y, z) \neq (2, 2, 2)$ and $\mathbf{gcd}(u, v) = 1$ and $u - v \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$: $(u^2 - v^2)^x + (2uw)^y \neq (u^2 + v^2)^z$.

Proof. Suppose that for some $x, y, z, u, v \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ such that $(x, y, z) \neq (2, 2, 2)$ and $\mathbf{gcd}(u, v) = 1$ and $u - v \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$: $(u^2 - v^2)^x + (2uw)^y = (u^2 + v^2)^z$.

If $u = 2$ and $v = 1$, then

$$(3 + 4 > 5 \wedge 3^1 + 4^2 < 5^2 \wedge 3^2 + 4^1 < 5^2 \wedge 3^1 + 4^3 > 5^2 \wedge 3^3 + 4^1 > 5^2 \wedge 3^3 + 4^2 < 5^3 \wedge 3^2 + 4^3 < 5^3).$$

If $u - v > v$, then $u^2 - v^2 + 2uw > u^2 + v^2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} [(u^2 - v^2)^1 + (2uw)^2 < (u^2 + v^2)^2 \wedge (u^2 - v^2)^2 + (2uw)^1 < (u^2 + v^2)^2] \wedge \\ [(u^2 - v^2)^1 + (2uw)^3 > (u^2 + v^2)^2 \wedge (u^2 - v^2)^3 + (2uw)^1 > (u^2 + v^2)^2] \wedge \\ [(u^2 - v^2)^3 + (2uw)^2 < (u^2 + v^2)^3 \wedge (u^2 - v^2)^2 + (2uw)^3 < (u^2 + v^2)^3]. \end{aligned}$$

If $u - v < v$, then $u^2 - v^2 + 2uw > u^2 + v^2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} [(u^2 - v^2)^1 + (2uw)^2 < (u^2 + v^2)^2 \wedge (u^2 - v^2)^2 + (2uw)^1 < (u^2 + v^2)^2] \wedge \\ [(u^2 - v^2)^1 + (2uw)^3 > (u^2 + v^2)^2 \wedge (u^2 - v^2)^3 + (2uw)^1 < (u^2 + v^2)^2] \wedge \\ [(u^2 - v^2)^3 + (2uw)^2 < (u^2 + v^2)^3 \wedge (u^2 - v^2)^2 + (2uw)^3 < (u^2 + v^2)^3]. \end{aligned}$$

On the strength of the Theorem 3 – For some $z \in \{3, 4, 5, \dots\}$ and for some $p, q \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and for some $u, v \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ such that $p + q > 0$ and $u - v \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$ and $p, uv, u - v$ are co-prime:

$$[(u^2 - v^2)^{z+p} + (2uw)^{z-q} = (u^2 + v^2)^z \vee (u^2 - v^2)^{z-p} + (2uw)^{z+q} = (u^2 + v^2)^z].$$

Then for some p it must be $p = \mathbf{cpf}(p, u^2 + v^2)$.

If $z + p > z \geq z - q$, then for some $p = \mathbf{cpf}(p, u^2 + v^2)$:

$$\begin{aligned} [p^{z+p}(u^2 - v^2)^{z+p} + p^{z-q}(2uw)^{z-q} = p^z(u^2 + v^2)^z] \Rightarrow \\ \{[p^{p+q}(u^2 - v^2)^{z+p} + (2uw)^{z-q} = p^q(u^2 + v^2)^z \vee p^p(u^2 - v^2)^{z+p} + (2uw)^z = (u^2 + v^2)^z]\} \\ \Rightarrow [\mathbf{cpf}(p, (2uw)^{z-q}) > 1 \vee \mathbf{cpf}(p, (2uw)^z) > 1], \end{aligned}$$

which is inconsistent with $p, uv, u^2 - v^2$ are co-prime.

If $z + q > z \geq z - p$, then for some $p = \mathbf{cpf}(p, u^2 + v^2)$:

$$\begin{aligned} [p^{z-p}(u^2 - v^2)^{z-p} + p^{z+q}(2uw)^{z+q} = p^z(u^2 + v^2)^z] \Rightarrow \\ \{[(u^2 - v^2)^{z-p} + p^{p+q}(2uw)^{z+q} = p^p(u^2 + v^2)^z \vee (u^2 - v^2)^{z-p} + p^q(2uw)^{z+q} = (u^2 + v^2)^z]\} \\ \Rightarrow [\mathbf{cpf}(p, (u^2 - v^2)^{z-p}) > 1 \vee \mathbf{cpf}(p, (u^2 - v^2)^{z-p}) > 1], \end{aligned}$$

which is inconsistent with $p, uv, u^2 - v^2$ are co-prime. This is the proper proof.

IV. THE PROPER PROOF OF BEAL'S CONJECTURE

Conjecture 2 (Beal Conjecture 2). For all $x, y, z \in \{3, 4, 5, \dots\}$ the equation

$$A^x + B^y = C^z$$

has no primitive solutions $[A, B, C]$ in $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$.

Proof. Let for some $x, y, z \in \{3, 4, 5, \dots\}$ and for some coprime $A, B, C \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$: $A^x + B^y = C^z$.

Then only one number out of each solution $[A, B, C]$ is even. Thus we assume that A is odd.

If $y > x = z$, then for some $p = \mathbf{cpf}(p, A)$:

$$(p^x A^x + p^y B^y = p^z C^z) \Rightarrow (A^x + p^{y-z} B^y = C^z) \Rightarrow \mathbf{cpf}(p, C^z) > 1,$$

which is inconsistent with the primitive solution $[A, B, C]$.

If $y > x > z$, then for some $p = \mathbf{cpf}(p, A)$:

$$(p^x A^x + p^y B^y = p^z C^z) \Rightarrow (p^{x-z} A^x + p^{y-z} B^y = C^z) \Rightarrow \mathbf{cpf}(p, C^z) > 1,$$

which is inconsistent with the primitive solution $[A, B, C]$.

If $y > z > x$, then for some $p = \mathbf{cpf}(p, C)$:

$$(p^x A^x + p^y B^y = p^z C^z) \Rightarrow (A^x + p^{y-x} B^y = p^{z-x} C^z) \Rightarrow \mathbf{cpf}(p, A^z) > 1,$$

which is inconsistent with the primitive solution $[A, B, C]$.

If $y = x > z$, then for some $p = \mathbf{cpf}(p, A)$:

$$(p^x A^x + p^y B^y = p^z C^z) \Rightarrow (p^{x-z} A^x + p^{y-z} B^y = C^z) \Rightarrow \mathbf{cpf}(p, C^z) > 1,$$

which is inconsistent with the primitive solution $[A, B, C]$.

If $z > y = x$, then for some $p = \mathbf{cpf}(p, A)$:

$$(p^x A^x + p^y B^y = p^z C^z) \Rightarrow (A^x + B^y = p^{z-x} C^z) \Rightarrow \mathbf{cpf}(p, B^z) > 1,$$

which is inconsistent with the primitive solution $[A, B, C]$.

If $z > x > y$, then for some $p = \mathbf{cpf}(p, A)$:

$$(p^x A^x + p^y B^y = p^z C^z) \Rightarrow (p^{x-y} A^x + B^y = p^{z-y} C^z) \Rightarrow \mathbf{cpf}(p, B^z) > 1,$$

which is inconsistent with the primitive solution $[A, B, C]$.

If $z = x > y$, then for some $p = \mathbf{cpf}(p, A)$:

$$(p^x A^x + p^y B^y = p^z C^z) \Rightarrow (p^{x-y} A^x + B^y = p^{z-y} C^z) \Rightarrow \mathbf{cpf}(p, B^z) > 1,$$

which is inconsistent with the primitive solution $[A, B, C]$. This is the proper proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bobiński, Z., Kamiński, B.: WIADOMOŚCI MATEMATYCZNE XXXV, SERIES II, ROCZNIKI POLSKIEGO TOWARZYSTWA MATEMATYCZNEGO 1999 – http://main3.amu.edu.pl/~wiadmat/145-151_zb_wm35.pdf
- [2] Guła, L. W.: Several Treasures of the Queen of Mathematics – http://www.ijetae.com/files/Volume6Issue1/IJETAE_0116_09.pdf
- [3] Guła, L. W.: The Truly Marvellous Proof – http://www.ijetae.com/files/Volume2Issue12/IJETAE_1212_14.pdf
- [4] <http://www.ams.org/profession/prizes-awards/ams-supported/beal-prize>