

Three Wonderful Proofs

By Leszek W. Guła

Lublin-POLAND

July/August 1997 and 03–09 February 2016

Abstract—

The wonderful proof of Fermat's Last Theorem.

The wonderful proof of Jeśmanowicz's Conjecture.

The wonderful proof of Beal's Conjecture in the case 2.

MSC: Primary: 11A41, 11D41, 11D45; Secondary: 11D61, 11D75, 11D85.

Keywords— Beal Conjecture, Common Prime Factor, Diophantine Equations, Diophantine Inequalities, Exponential Equations, Fermat Equation, Greatest Common Divisor.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Fermat's Last Theorem is the famous theorem. The proof of FLT is dated July/August 1997. Jeśmanowicz's Conjecture [1] concerns a pythagorean triples, that is - the Diophantus Equation. Beal's Conjecture is the generalization of Fermat's Last Theorem. [4]

II. THE WONDERFUL PROOF OF THE FERMAT'S LAST THEOREM

Theorem 1 (Femat Last Theorem). For all $n \in \{3,4,5, \dots\}$ and for all $A, B, C \in \{1,2,3, \dots\}$:

$$A^n + B^n \neq C^n.$$

Proof. Suppose that for some $n \in \{3,4,5, \dots\}$ and for some $A, B, C \in \{1,2,3, \dots\}$:

$$(A^n + B^n = C^n \wedge A + B > C \wedge A^2 + B^2 > C^2 \wedge \dots \wedge A^{n-1} + B^{n-1} > C^{n-1}).$$

In the another case we will have – For some $n \in \{3,4,5, \dots\}$ and for some $A, B, C \in \{1,2,3, \dots\}$:

$$(A^n + B^n = C^n \wedge A + B \leq C \wedge A^2 + B^2 \leq C^2 \wedge \dots \wedge A^{n-1} + B^{n-1} \leq C^{n-1}) \Rightarrow A^n + B^n < C^n,$$

which is inconsistent with $A^n + B^n = C^n$. [2]

We assume that A, B and C are co-prime. Then only one number out of each solution $[A, B, C]$ is even.

Thus $A + B - C \in [2,4,6, \dots]$. Without loss for this proof we can assume that $A, C - B \in \{1,3,5, \dots\}$.

We assume – For some co-prime $u, v \in \{1,2,3, \dots\}$ such that $u - v \in \{1,3,5, \dots\}$: $A + B - C = 2v(u - v)$.

$$[A = C - B + 2v(u - v) \wedge B = C - A + 2v(u - v) \wedge C = C - B + C - A + 2v(u - v) \wedge A^2 + B^2 > C^2].$$

Thus $2v^2(u - v)^2 > (C - A)(C - B)$, with $[(n | v \wedge n | A) \vee (n | v \wedge n | B) \vee (n | v \wedge n | C)]$. [2]

If $A = u^2 - v^2$, then $n \nmid A$. The proof is incomplete. If $C = u^2 + v^2$, then $n \nmid C$. The proof is incomplete.

If $B = 2uv$, then $(A = u^2 + a - v^2 \wedge C = u^2 + a + v^2 \wedge n | v \wedge n \nmid C, A)$. The proof is incomplete.

From the Fermat Equation we obtain – For each primitive solution $[A, B, C]$ and for all $u, v \in \{1,2,3, \dots\}$ such that $\mathbf{gcd}(u, v) = 1$ and $u - v \in \{1,3,5, \dots\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\neg[(A = u^2 - v^2 \wedge B = 2uv \wedge C = u^2 + v^2) \equiv 0 \Rightarrow A + B - C = 2v(u - v) \equiv 1] \\ \equiv [(A = u^2 - v^2 \wedge B = 2uv \wedge C = u^2 + v^2) \equiv 0 \wedge A + B - C \neq 2v(u - v)] \equiv 0\} \Rightarrow \\ A + B - C \neq 2v(u - v) \equiv 0. \end{aligned}$$

Equally from the Fermat Equation it follows that – For some co-prime $A, B, C \in \{1,2,3, \dots\}$ and for some $u, v \in \{1,2,3, \dots\}$ such that $\mathbf{gcd}(u, v) = 1$ and $u - v \in \{1,3,5, \dots\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\neg[(A \neq u^2 - v^2 \wedge B \neq 2uv \wedge C \neq u^2 + v^2) \equiv 1 \Rightarrow A + B - C \neq 2v(u - v) \equiv 0] \\ \equiv [(A \neq u^2 - v^2 \wedge B \neq 2uv \wedge C \neq u^2 + v^2) \wedge A + B - C = 2v(u - v)] \equiv 1 [2]\} \Rightarrow \\ A + B - C = 2v(u - v) \equiv 1. \end{aligned}$$

On the strength of the logical law $\{[(\neg T) \Rightarrow q] \wedge [(\neg T) \Rightarrow (\neg q)]\} \Rightarrow T$. [3]

This is the wonderful proof.

Theorem 2 (LWG Theorem 03&04 June1997). For each $g \in \{8,12,16, \dots\}$ or for each $g \in \{3,5,7, \dots\}$ there exist finitely many pairs (s, t) of positive integers such that:

$$g = \left(\frac{g+d^2}{2d}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{g-d^2}{2d}\right)^2 = s^2 - t^2 = (s+t)(s-t) = \frac{g}{d}(s-t) = \frac{g}{d}d = g,$$

where $d|g$ and $d < \sqrt{g}$ and $d, \frac{g}{d} \in \{2,4,6, \dots\}$ with even g or $d \in \{1,3,5, \dots\}$ with odd g . [2]

Theorem 3. For all $x, u, v \in \{1,2,3, \dots\}$ such that $\gcd(u, v) = 1$ and $u - v \in \{1,3,5, \dots\}$:

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} (u+v)^x(u-v)^x &= \left[\frac{(u+v)^x + (u-v)^x}{2} \right]^2 - \left[\frac{(u+v)^x - (u-v)^x}{2} \right]^2 \wedge (u^2 - v^2)^{2+x} + (2uv)^{2+x} \\ &= (u^2 - v^2)^2(u^2 - v^2)^x + (2uv)^2(2uv)^x < (u^2 - v^2)^2(u^2 + v^2)^x + (2uv)^2(u^2 + v^2)^x \\ &= (u^2 + v^2)^{2+x} \end{aligned} \right\}. [2]$$

Definition 1. $\mathit{cpf}[p(u^2 - v^2), p2uv, p(u^2 + v^2)] = p$, where p is the odd common prime factor (cpf) with the numbers of the solutions $[u^2 - v^2, 2uv, u^2 + v^2]$ such that $p, uv, u^2 - v^2$ are co-prime. [2]

This is the definition 1.

Definition 2. $\mathit{cpf}(pA, pB, pC) = p$, where p is the odd common prime factor (cpf) with the numbers of the primitive solutions $[A, B, C]$. [2]

This is the definition 2.

III. THE WONDERFUL PROOF OF THE JEŚMANOWICZ'S CONJECTURE

Conjecture 1 (Jeśmanowicz Conjecture). For all $x, y, z, u, v \in \{1,2,3, \dots\}$ such that $(x, y, z) \neq (2,2,2)$ and $\gcd(u, v) = 1$ and $u - v \in \{1,3,5, \dots\}$: $(u^2 - v^2)^x + (2uv)^y \neq (u^2 + v^2)^z$.

Proof. Suppose that for some $x, y, z, u, v \in \{1,2,3, \dots\}$ such that $(x, y, z) \neq (2,2,2)$ and $\gcd(u, v) = 1$ and $u - v \in \{1,3,5, \dots\}$: $(u^2 - v^2)^x + (2uv)^y = (u^2 + v^2)^z$.

If $u = 2$ and $v = 1$, then

$$(3 + 4 > 5 \wedge 3^1 + 4^2 < 5^2 \wedge 3^2 + 4^1 < 5^2 \wedge 3^1 + 4^3 > 5^2 \wedge 3^3 + 4^1 > 5^2 \wedge 3^3 + 4^2 < 5^3 \wedge 3^2 + 4^3 < 5^3).$$

If $u - v > v$, then $u^2 - v^2 + 2uv > u^2 + v^2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} [(u^2 - v^2)^1 + (2uv)^2 < (u^2 + v^2)^2 \wedge (u^2 - v^2)^2 + (2uv)^1 < (u^2 + v^2)^2] \wedge \\ [(u^2 - v^2)^1 + (2uv)^3 > (u^2 + v^2)^2 \wedge (u^2 - v^2)^3 + (2uv)^1 > (u^2 + v^2)^2] \wedge \\ [(u^2 - v^2)^3 + (2uv)^2 < (u^2 + v^2)^3 \wedge (u^2 - v^2)^2 + (2uv)^3 < (u^2 + v^2)^3]. \end{aligned}$$

If $u - v < v$, then $u^2 - v^2 + 2uv > u^2 + v^2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} [(u^2 - v^2)^1 + (2uv)^2 < (u^2 + v^2)^2 \wedge (u^2 - v^2)^2 + (2uv)^1 < (u^2 + v^2)^2] \wedge \\ [(u^2 - v^2)^1 + (2uv)^3 > (u^2 + v^2)^2 \wedge (u^2 - v^2)^3 + (2uv)^1 < (u^2 + v^2)^2] \wedge \\ [(u^2 - v^2)^3 + (2uv)^2 < (u^2 + v^2)^3 \wedge (u^2 - v^2)^2 + (2uv)^3 < (u^2 + v^2)^3]. \end{aligned}$$

On the strength of the Theorem 3 – For some $z \in \{3,4,5, \dots\}$ and for some $p, q \in \{0,1,2, \dots\}$ and for some $u, v \in \{1,2,3, \dots\}$ such that $p + q > 0$ and $u - v \in \{1,3,5, \dots\}$ and $p, uv, u - v$ are co-prime:

$$[(u^2 - v^2)^{z+p} + (2uv)^{z-q} = (u^2 + v^2)^z \vee (u^2 - v^2)^{z-p} + (2uv)^{z+q} = (u^2 + v^2)^z].$$

Then for any p it must be $p = \mathit{cpf}(p, u^2 + v^2)$.

If $z + p > z \geq z - q$, then for any $p = \mathit{cpf}(p, u^2 + v^2)$:

$$\begin{aligned} [p^{z+p}(u^2 - v^2)^{z+p} + p^{z-q}(2uv)^{z-q} = p^z(u^2 + v^2)^z] \Rightarrow \\ \{[p^{p+q}(u^2 - v^2)^{z+p} + (2uv)^{z-q} = p^q(u^2 + v^2)^z \vee p^p(u^2 - v^2)^{z+p} + (2uv)^z = (u^2 + v^2)^z]\} \\ \Rightarrow [\mathit{cpf}(p, (2uv)^{z-q}) > 1 \vee \mathit{cpf}(p, (2uv)^z) > 1], \end{aligned}$$

which is inconsistent with $p, uv, u^2 - v^2$ are co-prime.

If $z + q > z \geq z - p$, then for any $p = \text{cpf}(p, u^2 + v^2)$:

$$[p^{z-p}(u^2 - v^2)^{z-p} + p^{z+q}(2uv)^{z+q} = p^z(u^2 + v^2)^z] \Rightarrow \\ \{[(u^2 - v^2)^{z-p} + p^{p+q}(2uv)^{z+q} = p^p(u^2 + v^2)^z \vee (u^2 - v^2)^{z-p} + p^q(2uv)^{z+q} = (u^2 + v^2)^z]\} \\ \Rightarrow [\text{cpf}(p, (u^2 - v^2)^{z-p}) > 1 \vee \text{cpf}(p, (u^2 - v^2)^{z-p}) > 1],$$

which is inconsistent with $p, uv, u^2 - v^2$ are co-prime. This is the wonderful proof.

IV. THE WONDERFUL PROOF OF THE BEAL'S CONJECTURE

Conjecture 2 (Beal Conjecture in the case 2). For all $x, y, z \in \{3, 4, 5, \dots\}$ the equation

$$A^x + B^y = C^z$$

has no primitive solutions $[A, B, C]$ in $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$.

Proof. Let for some $x, y, z \in \{3, 4, 5, \dots\}$ and for some coprime $A, B, C \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$: $A^x + B^y = C^z$.

Then only one number out of each solution $[A, B, C]$ is even. Thus we assume that A is odd.

If $y > x = z$, the for any $p = \text{cpf}(p, A)$:

$$(p^x A^x + p^y B^y = p^z C^z) \Rightarrow (A^x + p^{y-z} B^y = C^z) \Rightarrow \text{cpf}(p, C^z) > 1,$$

which is inconsistent with the primitive solution $[A, B, C]$.

If $y > x > z$, the for any $p = \text{cpf}(p, A)$:

$$(p^x A^x + p^y B^y = p^z C^z) \Rightarrow (p^{x-z} A^x + p^{y-z} B^y = C^z) \Rightarrow \text{cpf}(p, C^z) > 1,$$

which is inconsistent with the primitive solution $[A, B, C]$.

If $y > z > x$, the for any $p = \text{cpf}(p, C)$:

$$(p^x A^x + p^y B^y = p^z C^z) \Rightarrow (A^x + p^{y-x} B^y = p^{z-x} C^z) \Rightarrow \text{cpf}(p, A^z) > 1,$$

which is inconsistent with the primitive solution $[A, B, C]$.

If $y = x > z$, the for any $p = \text{cpf}(p, A)$:

$$(p^x A^x + p^y B^y = p^z C^z) \Rightarrow (p^{x-z} A^x + p^{y-z} B^y = C^z) \Rightarrow \text{cpf}(p, C^z) > 1,$$

which is inconsistent with the primitive solution $[A, B, C]$.

If $z > y = x$, the for any $p = \text{cpf}(p, A)$:

$$(p^x A^x + p^y B^y = p^z C^z) \Rightarrow (A^x + B^y = p^{z-x} C^z) \Rightarrow \text{cpf}(p, B^z) > 1,$$

which is inconsistent with the primitive solution $[A, B, C]$.

If $z > x > y$, the for any $p = \text{cpf}(p, A)$:

$$(p^x A^x + p^y B^y = p^z C^z) \Rightarrow (p^{x-y} A^x + B^y = p^{z-y} C^z) \Rightarrow \text{cpf}(p, B^z) > 1,$$

which is inconsistent with the primitive solution $[A, B, C]$.

If $z = x > y$, the for any $p = \text{cpf}(p, A)$:

$$(p^x A^x + p^y B^y = p^z C^z) \Rightarrow (p^{x-y} A^x + B^y = p^{z-y} C^z) \Rightarrow \text{cpf}(p, B^z) > 1,$$

which is inconsistent with the primitive solution $[A, B, C]$.

This is the wonderful proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bobiński, Z., Kamiński, B.: WIADOMOŚCI MATEMATYCZNE XXXV, SERIES II, ROCZNIKI POLSKIEGO TOWARZYSTWA MATEMATYCZNEGO 1999 – http://main3.amu.edu.pl/~wiadmat/145-151_zb_wm35.pdf
- [2] Guła, L. W.: Several Treasures of the Queen of Mathematics – http://www.ijetae.com/files/Volume6Issue1/IJETAe_0116_09.pdf
- [3] Żakowski, W.: Matematyka, Part I, WYDAWNICTWA NAUKOWO-TECHNICZNE, WARSZAWA 1977, p. 21.
- [4] <http://www.ams.org/profession/prizes-awards/ams-supported/beal-prize>