

# The Truly Marvellous Proofs

By Leszek W. Guła

Lublin-POLAND

July/August 1997 and 03–04 February 2016

## Abstract—

The truly marvellous proof of the Fermat's Last Theorem (FLT).

Three truly marvellous proofs of the Jeśmanowicz's Conjecture (JC).

The truly marvellous proof of the Beal's Conjecture in the case 2 (BC).

**MSC:** Primary: 11A41, 11D41, 11D45; Secondary: 11D61, 11D75, 11D85.

**Keywords—** Beal Conjecture, Common Prime Factor, Diophantine Equations, Diophantine Inequalities, Exponential Equations, Fermat Equation, Greatest Common Divisor.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The Fermat's Last Theorem is the famous theorem. The proof of FLT is dated July/August 1997.

The Jeśmanowicz's Conjecture [1] concerns a pythagorean triples, that is - the Diophantus Equation.

The Beal's Conjecture is a generalization of Fermat's Last Theorem. [5]

## II. THE TRULY MARVELLOUS PROOF OF THE FERMAT'S LAST THEOREM

**Theorem 1** (FLT). For all  $n \in \{3,4,5, \dots\}$  and for all  $A, B, C \in \{1,2,3, \dots\}$  the equation

$$A^n + B^n = C^n$$

has no primitive solutions  $[A, B, C]$  in  $\{1,2,3, \dots\}$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that for some  $n \in \{3,4,5, \dots\}$  and for some co-prime  $A, B, C \in \{1,2,3, \dots\}$ :

$$(A^n + B^n = C^n \wedge A + B > C \wedge A^2 + B^2 > C^2 \wedge \dots \wedge A^{n-1} + B^{n-1} > C^{n-1}).$$

In the another case we will have – For some  $n \in \{3,4,5, \dots\}$  and for some co-prime  $A, B, C \in \{1,2,3, \dots\}$ :

$$(A^n + B^n = C^n \wedge A + B \leq C \wedge A^2 + B^2 \leq C^2 \wedge \dots \wedge A^{n-1} + B^{n-1} \leq C^{n-1}) \Rightarrow$$

$$A^n + B^n < C^n,$$

which is inconsistent with  $A^n + B^n = C^n$ . [2]

Then only one number out of a given solution  $[A, B, C]$  is even and the number  $A + B - C$  is even. Without loss for this proof we can assume that  $A, C - B \in \{1,3,5, \dots\}$  and that  $A + B - C = 2v(u - v)$ , inasmuch as – For all  $u, v \in \{0,1,2, \dots\}$  such that  $\mathbf{gcd}(u, v) = 1$  and  $u - v \in \{\dots, -5, -3, -1, 1, 3, 5, \dots\}$ :

$$2v(u - v) \in \{\dots, -4, -2, 0, 2, 4, \dots\}.$$

From the above it follows that – For each solution  $[A, B, C]$  and for all relatively prime  $u, v \in \{0,1,2, \dots\}$  such that  $u - v \in \{\dots, -5, -3, -1, 1, 3, 5, \dots\}$ :

$$\neg[A = u^2 - v^2 \wedge B = 2uv \wedge -C = -(u^2 + v^2)] \Rightarrow$$

$$\{\neg[A = u^2 - v^2 \vee B = 2uv \vee -C = -(u^2 + v^2)] \wedge \neg[A + B - C = 2v(u - v)]\} \Rightarrow$$

$$2v(u - v) \notin \{\dots, -4, -2, 0, 2, 4, \dots\},$$

which is inconsistent with  $2v(u - v) \in \{\dots, -4, -2, 0, 2, 4, \dots\}$ .

This is the truly marvellous proof of FLT.

**Theorem 2.** For all  $x, u, v \in \{1,2,3, \dots\}$  such that  $\mathbf{gcd}(u, v) = 1$  and  $u - v \in \{1,3,5, \dots\}$ :

$$\left\{ (u+v)^x (u-v)^x = \left[ \frac{(u+v)^x + (u-v)^x}{2} \right]^2 - \left[ \frac{(u+v)^x - (u-v)^x}{2} \right]^2 \wedge (u^2 - v^2)^{2+x} + (2uv)^{2+x} \right. \\ = (u^2 - v^2)^2 (u^2 - v^2)^x + (2uv)^2 (2uv)^x < (u^2 - v^2)^2 (u^2 + v^2)^x + (2uv)^2 (u^2 + v^2)^x \\ \left. = (u^2 + v^2)^{2+x} \right\}.$$

**Theorem 3.** Let  $u$  and  $v$  be two relatively prime natural numbers such that  $u - v$  is positive and odd. Then  $(u^2 - v^2, 2uv, u^2 + v^2)$  is a primitive Pythagorean triple, and each primitive Pythagorean triple arises in this way for some  $u, v$  [3], that is to say for all primitive Pythagorean triple there exists different and only one shared pair  $(u, v)$ . [2]

### III. THREE TRULY MARVELLOUS PROOFS OF THE JEŚMANOWICZ'S CONJECTURE

**Conjecture 1** (Jeśmanowicz Conjecture). For all  $x, y, z, u, v \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  such that  $(x, y, z) \neq (2, 2, 2)$  and  $\mathbf{gcd}(u, v) = 1$  and  $u - v \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$ :

$$(u^2 - v^2)^x + (2uv)^y \neq (u^2 + v^2)^z.$$

**Proof 1.** Suppose that for some  $x, y, z, u, v \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  such that  $(x, y, z) \neq (2, 2, 2)$  and  $\mathbf{gcd}(u, v) = 1$  and  $u - v \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$ :

$$(u^2 - v^2)^x + (2uv)^y = (u^2 + v^2)^z.$$

On the strength of the Theorem 2 – For some  $x, z, y, u, v \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  such that  $(x, y, z) \neq (2, 2, 2)$  and  $\mathbf{gcd}(u, v) = 1$  and  $u - v \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (u^2 - v^2)^x &= \left[ \frac{(u+v)^x + (u-v)^x}{2} \right]^2 - \left[ \frac{(u+v)^x - (u-v)^x}{2} \right]^2 = \\ &= \left[ (u^2 + v^2)^{\frac{x}{2}} \right]^2 - \left[ (2uv)^{\frac{y}{2}} \right]^2 = \left[ (u^2 + v^2)^{\frac{x}{2}} + (2uv)^{\frac{y}{2}} \right] \left[ (u^2 + v^2)^{\frac{x}{2}} - (2uv)^{\frac{y}{2}} \right] \Rightarrow \\ &= \left[ (u+v)^x + (u-v)^x \right]^2 = 2^2 (u^2 + v^2)^z \wedge \left[ (u+v)^x - (u-v)^x \right]^2 = 2^2 (2uv)^y \Rightarrow \\ &= z, y \in \{2, 4, 6, \dots\}. \end{aligned}$$

At present we assume that the number  $u^2 - v^2$  is minimal. [4]

Therefore – For some  $x, Z, Y, u, v \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  and for some  $z, y \in \{2, 4, 6, \dots\}$  such that  $(x, y, z) \neq (2, 2, 2)$  and  $\mathbf{gcd}(u, v) = 1$  and  $u - v \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$ :

$$(u - v)^x = (u^2 + v^2)^z - (2uv)^y = (u^2 + v^2)^{\frac{z}{2}} - (2uv)^{\frac{y}{2}} \Rightarrow u - v < u^2 - v^2,$$

which is inconsistent with minimal  $u^2 - v^2$ .

This is the truly marvellous proof 1 of JC.

**Proof 2.** Suppose that for some  $x, y, z, u, v \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  such that  $(x, y, z) \neq (2, 2, 2)$  and  $\mathbf{gcd}(u, v) = 1$  and  $u - v \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$ :

$$(u^2 - v^2)^x + (2uv)^y = (u^2 + v^2)^z.$$

If  $u = 2$  and  $v = 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (3^1 + 4^1 < 5^2 \wedge 3^1 + 4^2 < 5^2 \wedge 3^2 + 4^1 < 5^2 \wedge 3^3 + 4^2 < 5^3 \wedge 3^2 + 4^3 < 5^3 \wedge 3^3 + 4^3 < 5^3) \wedge \\ (3^1 + 4^1 > 5^1 \wedge 3^1 + 4^3 > 5^2 \wedge 3^3 + 4^1 > 5^2). \end{aligned}$$

If  $u - v > v$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (u^2 - v^2)^1 + (2uv)^1 &> (u^2 + v^2)^1 \wedge \\ [(u^2 - v^2)^1 + (2uv)^2 < (u^2 + v^2)^2 \wedge (u^2 - v^2)^2 + (2uv)^1 < (u^2 + v^2)^2] \wedge \\ [(u^2 - v^2)^1 + (2uv)^3 > (u^2 + v^2)^2 \wedge (u^2 - v^2)^3 + (2uv)^1 > (u^2 + v^2)^2] \wedge \\ [(u^2 - v^2)^3 + (2uv)^2 < (u^2 + v^2)^3 \wedge (u^2 - v^2)^2 + (2uv)^3 < (u^2 + v^2)^3]. \end{aligned}$$

If  $u - v < v$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (u^2 - v^2)^1 + (2uv)^1 &> (u^2 + v^2)^1 \wedge \\ [(u^2 - v^2)^1 + (2uv)^2 < (u^2 + v^2)^2 \wedge (u^2 - v^2)^2 + (2uv)^1 < (u^2 + v^2)^2] \wedge \\ [(u^2 - v^2)^1 + (2uv)^3 > (u^2 + v^2)^2 \wedge (u^2 - v^2)^3 + (2uv)^1 < (u^2 + v^2)^2] \wedge \\ [(u^2 - v^2)^3 + (2uv)^2 < (u^2 + v^2)^3 \wedge (u^2 - v^2)^2 + (2uv)^3 < (u^2 + v^2)^3]. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover on the strength of the Theorem 2 – we will have:  $(u^2 - v^2)^3 + (2uv)^3 < (u^2 + v^2)^3$ .

**Definition 1.**  $\text{cpf}(pu^2 - pv^2, p2uv, pu^2 + pv^2) = p$ ,  $p$  is the odd common prime factor with the numbers of the solutions  $[u^2 - v^2, 2uv, u^2 + v^2]$  such that  $p, u, v, u^2 - v^2$  are co-prime. [2] This is the definition 1.

Therefore on the strength of the Theorem 2 – For some  $z \in \{3,4,5, \dots\}$  and for some  $p, q \in \{0,1,2, \dots\}$  and for some  $u, v \in \{1,2,3, \dots\}$  such that  $p > q < z$  and  $p, u, v, u^2 - v^2$  are co-prime and  $u - v \in \{1,3,5, \dots\}$ :

$$[(u^2 - v^2)^{z+p} + (2uv)^{z-q} = (u^2 + v^2)^z \vee (u^2 - v^2)^{z-q} + (2uv)^{z+p} = (u^2 + v^2)^z].$$

If  $z + p > z \geq z - q$ , then for some  $p = u^2 + v^2$  we get:

$$\begin{aligned} [p^{z+p}(u^2 - v^2)^{z+p} + p^{z-q}(2uv)^{z-q} = p^z(u^2 + v^2)^z = p^{2z}] &\Rightarrow \\ [p^{p+q}(u^2 - v^2)^{z+p} + (2uv)^{z-q} = p^{z+q} \vee p^p(u^2 - v^2)^{z+p} + (2uv)^z = p^z] &\Rightarrow \\ \mathbf{gcd}(p, 2uv) > 1, \end{aligned}$$

which is inconsistent with  $p, u, v, u^2 - v^2$  are co-prime.

Or – If  $z + p > z \geq z - q$ , then for some  $p$  we get:

$$\begin{aligned} [p^{z-q}(u^2 - v^2)^{z-q} + p^{z+p}(2uv)^{z+p} = p^z(u^2 + v^2)^z = p^{2z}] &\Rightarrow \\ [(u^2 - v^2)^{z-q} + p^{p+q}(2uv)^{z+p} = p^{z+q} \vee (u^2 - v^2)^z + p^p(2uv)^{z+p} = p^z] &\Rightarrow \\ \mathbf{gcd}(p, u^2 - v^2) > 1, \end{aligned}$$

which is inconsistent with  $p, u, v, u^2 - v^2$  are co-prime.

This is the truly marvellous proof 2 of JC.

**Proof 3.** Suppose that for some  $x, y, z, u, v \in \{1,2,3, \dots\}$  such that  $(x, y, z) \neq (2,2,2)$  and  $\mathbf{gcd}(u, v) = 1$  and  $u - v \in \{1,3,5, \dots\}$ :

$$(u^2 - v^2)^x + (2uv)^y = (u^2 + v^2)^z.$$

On the strength of the Theorem 3 – For some  $x, y, z, u, v \in \{1,2,3, \dots\}$  such that  $(x, y, z) \neq (2,2,2)$  and  $\mathbf{gcd}(u, v) = 1$  and  $u - v \in \{1,3,5, \dots\}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} [(u^2 - v^2)^x + (2uv)^y = (u^2 + v^2)^z \wedge u^2 - v^2 + 2uv > u^2 + v^2 \wedge (u^2 - v^2)^2 + (2uv)^2 = (u^2 + v^2)^2] \\ \Rightarrow [(u^2 - v^2)^x = (u^2 - v^2)^2 \wedge (2uv)^y = (2uv)^2 \wedge (u^2 + v^2)^z = (u^2 + v^2)^2] \Rightarrow (x, y, z) \\ = (2,2,2), \end{aligned}$$

which is inconsistent with  $(x, y, z) \neq (2,2,2)$ . [2]

This is the truly marvellous proof 3 of JC.

#### IV. THE TRULY MARVELLOUS PROOF OF THE BEAL'S CONJECTURE

**Conjecture 2** (Beal Conjecture in the case 2). For all  $x, y, z \in \{3,4,5 \dots\}$  the equation

$$A^x + B^y = C^z$$

has no primitive solutions  $[A, B, C]$  in  $\{1,2,3, \dots\}$ .

**Proof of the Main Conjecture.** Let for some  $x, y, z \in \{3,4,5, \dots\}$  and for some  $A, B, C \in \{1,2,3, \dots\}$  such that  $A, B$  and  $C$  are co-prime:

$$A^x + B^y = C^z.$$

Then only one number out of a given solution  $[A, B, C]$  is even and the number  $A + B - C$  is even. Without loss for this proof we can assume that  $A, C - B \in \{1,3,5, \dots\}$  and that  $A + B - C = 2v(u - v)$ , inasmuch as – For all  $u, v \in \{0,1,2, \dots\}$  such that  $\mathbf{gcd}(u, v) = 1$  and  $u - v \in \{\dots, -5, -3, -1, 1, 3, 5, \dots\}$ :

$$2v(u - v) \in \{\dots, -4, -2, 0, 2, 4, \dots\}.$$

Therefore on the strength of the above two proofs of the Jeśmanowicz's Conjecture – For each solution  $[A, B, C]$  and for all  $u, v \in \{0,1,2, \dots\}$  such that  $\mathbf{gcd}(u, v) = 1$  and  $u - v \in \{\dots, -5, -3, -1, 1, 3, 5, \dots\}$ :

$$(A \neq u^2 - v^2 \wedge B \neq 2uv \wedge C \neq u^2 + v^2) \Rightarrow A + B - C \neq 2v(u - v) \Rightarrow 2v(u - v) \notin \{\dots, -4, -2, 0, 2, 4, \dots\},$$

which is inconsistent with  $2v(u - v) \in \{\dots, -4, -2, 0, 2, 4, \dots\}$ .

This is the truly marvellous proof of BC.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Bobiński, Z., Kamiński, B.: WIADOMOŚCI MATEMATYCZNE XXXV, SERIES II, ROCZNIKI POLSKIEGO TOWARZYSTWA MATEMATYCZNEGO 1999 – [http://main3.amu.edu.pl/~wiadmat/145-151\\_zb\\_wm35.pdf](http://main3.amu.edu.pl/~wiadmat/145-151_zb_wm35.pdf)
- [2] Guła, L. W.: Several Treasures of the Queen of Mathematics – [http://www.ijetae.com/files/Volume6Issue1/IJETAE\\_0116\\_09.pdf](http://www.ijetae.com/files/Volume6Issue1/IJETAE_0116_09.pdf)
- [3] Husemöler, D.: Elliptic Curves, p. 7, Springer, p. 7 – <http://www.math.rochester.edu/people/faculty/doug/otherpapers/Husemoller.pdf>
- [4] Narkiewicz, W.: WIADOMOŚCI MATEMATYCZNE XXX.1, Annuals PTM, Series II, Warszawa 1993.
- [5] <http://www.ams.org/profession/prizes-awards/ams-supported/beal-prize>